1			
2			
3			
4			
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
7	GABRIEL ECKARD,		
8	Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:18-cv-00898-RAJ-BAT	
9	v.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME AND	
10	JEFF STRINGHAM, et al.,	RENOTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
11	Defendants.	SUMMARI JUDGMENI	
12	On January 25, 2019, Plaintiff requested a thirty day extension of his deadline to respond		
13	to Defendants' motion for summary judgment (Dkt. 27), presently noted for February 15, 2019.		
14	Dkt. 32. Plaintiff asserts he does not have access to a writing table and has to compose his		
15	documents using the surface of books as a table, which is cumbersome and exacerbates his		
16	somatization disorder. <i>Id.</i> Defendants have not filed any objection to the extension. The Court		
17	finds that the requested extension is not unreasonable in light of the described circumstances.		
18	Accordingly, it is ORDERED:		
19	(1) Plaintiff's motion for extension (Dkt. 32) is GRANTED ; Plaintiff shall file his		
20	response to Defendants' motion for summary judgment (Dkt. 27) by March 15, 2019.		
21	Defendants may file their reply by March 21, 2019.		
22			
23			
	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME AND		

RENOTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 1

1	(2) The Clerk is directed to re-note Defendants' motion for summary judgment (Dk		
2	27) for March 22, 2019 and to send a copy of this Order to the parties.		
3	DATED this 19 th day of February, 2019.		
4			3 7
5			RIAN A. TSUCHIDA nief United States Magistrate Judge
6			nor Onition States Translation Value
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			